IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA

JOEL GERBER,

Plaintiff,

VS.

AUBREY K. MCCLENDON, RICHARD K. DAVIDSON, KATHLEEN M. EISBRENNER, V. BURNS HARGIS, FRANK KEATING, CHARLES T. MAXWELL, MERRILL A. "PETE" MILLER, JR., DON NICKLES, LOUIS A. SIMPSON, BREENE M. KERR, and FREDERICK B. WHITTEMORE,

Defendants,

and

CHESAPEAKE ENERGY CORPORATION,

Nominal Defendant.

Case No. CIV-12-584-M

<u>ORDER</u>

UPON THIS DAY, having considered the Stipulation and Agreed Motion for Acceptance of Service and Schedule (the "Stipulation") [docket no. 5] filed by Plaintiff Joel Gerber, defendants Aubrey K. McClendon, Richard K. Davidson, Kathleen M. Eisbrenner, V. Burns Hargis, Frank Keating, Charles T. Maxwell, Merrill A. "Pete" Miller, Jr., Don Nickles, Louis A. Simpson, Breene M. Kerr, Frederick B. Whittemore and nominal defendant Chesapeake Energy Corporation (collectively, the "Defendants") on May 29, 2012,

Case 5:12-cv-00584-M Document 6 Filed 05/30/12 Page 2 of 2

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED THAT:

1. Orrick, Herrington & Sutcliffe LLP shall be deemed to have accepted service

of the Complaint filed in the above-caption action (the "Gerber Complaint") on behalf of the

Defendants, without prejudice to any defenses except sufficiency of service of process.

2. Defendants are not required to file an answer or otherwise respond to the

Gerber Complaint until the Court has issued an Order(s) ruling on the pending Consolidation

Motions.

3. Counsel will confer regarding a schedule for filing a consolidated amended

complaint and answering or otherwise responding thereto following the Court's ruling on the

Consolidation Motions.

4. This Stipulation is for the procedural and administrative convenience of the

Court and the parties and does not constitute a waiver or compromise of any rights the

parties would otherwise have.

IT IS SO ORDERED this 30th day of May, 2012.

VICKI MILĖS-LEGRANGE

CHIEF UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE